

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WOLODU GEBREMESKEL,

Plaintiff,

v.

REGATTA HOLDINGS, et al.,

Defendant

No. C-04-1126 MMC MED

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST FOR LATE FILING OF
OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT; DIRECTING
PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE CHAMBERS
COPIES; BRIEFING SCHEDULE;
SETTING HEARING**

Before the Court is plaintiff's request for permission to file a late opposition to defendants' motions for summary judgment. Neither defendant has filed a response to plaintiff's request.

Good cause appearing, plaintiff's request is hereby GRANTED. Plaintiff, however, has failed to provide the Court with a chambers copy of his opposition, which the docket reflects was filed electronically May 17, 2005. Both the Court's Standing Orders and General Order 45 require that a party provide, no later than noon the day after any document is filed electronically, a chambers copy of each such document. Accordingly, plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to provide, no later than noon on May 24, 2005, a chambers copy of his opposition. If plaintiff fails to provide a chambers copy of his opposition, or any further electronically-filed document, such document will be stricken as a sanction for non-compliance with the Court's Standing Orders and General Order 45.

1 The Court hereby sets the following briefing schedule and hearing:

2 1. Defendants shall file any reply to plaintiff's opposition no later than May 27, 2005.

3 2. Any hearing on defendants' motions for summary judgment will be conducted on
4 June 10, 2005, at 9:00 a.m.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: May 23, 2005

das /s/ Maxine M. Chesney
MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge